

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,910	07/09/2001	Makoto Higashiyama	F-7058	7128
75	7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER	
Jordan and Hamburg			ARNOLD, ADAM	
122 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2671	1
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/900,910	HIGASHIYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adam Arnold	2671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a range of the statutory minimum of thire statutory minimum of thire statutory minimum of the statutory	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·— ·	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	= · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for an application for a series 	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	·	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2671

2.

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner acknowledges the receipt and entry of the applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morihira, U.S. Patent No. 6,361,438 in view of Deleeuw, U.S. 2001/0040584 A1. Referring to claim 1, Morihira discloses a 3D image processing unit for displaying a model located in a simulated 3D space on a monitor (col. 2, lines 12-15) comprising: a first memory for storing a rendered model image as a collection of pixel data (col. 4, lines 59-60 and 9a in Figure 1); a second memory for storing distance information from a viewing point of a simulated camera in correspondence with each pixel position (col. 4, lines 65-67 and 9b in Figure 1); an image processing means (col. 2, line 58) for applying semitransparent processing to each pixel data read from the first memory (col. 3, lines 2-4); a rewriting means (or "combining" means, col. 3, line 5) for rewriting the pixel data from the image processing means at the same pixel position as that of the first memory from which the pixel data was read when said distance information from the viewing point of the camera is a specified reference value or larger (col. 2, line 61 to col. 3 line 6 and col. 3, line 49-51); a control means (col. 2, line 33) for causing the image processing means and the rewriting means to repeatedly operate only a specified number of times while successively

Art Unit: 2671

increasing the reference value and degree of transparency (col. 7, lines 37-40); and an image introduced to the monitory after processing by the control means (col. 2, line 59). Morihira does not disclose where the image processor applies averaging to each pixel. Deleeuw discloses applying averaging processing to the pixels (paragraph 43, line 17). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply averaging processing to each pixel. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to create a more realistic rendered image and reduce artifacts (see paragraph 6, lines 1-6 of Deleeuw).

Referring to claim 2, Morihira does not disclose where the image processing means applies the semitransparent processing to the averaged pixel data of claim 1. Deleeuw discloses where the image processing means applies the semitransparent processing to the averaged pixel data (paragraph 43, line17-26). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the image processing means applies the semitransparent processing to the averaged pixel data. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because applying averaging to each pixel read from memory will help to create a more realistic image just as applying transparency processing to each pixel.

Referring to claim 3, Morihira discloses where the rewriting means does not rewrite the image data at the pixel position where distance information from the viewing point of the camera is below the specified reference value (col. 3, lines 49-51—although the reference states that the transparency information is applied when the distance is above the reference, the implication is that it is not when the distance is below).

Art Unit: 2671

Referring to claim 4, Morihira discloses where the control means successively increases the reference value by a predetermined amount (col. 7, lines 13-22).

Referring to claim 5, Morihira discloses where the control means successively increases the degree of transparency by a predetermined amount (col. 7, lines 39-40).

Referring to claim 6, Morihira discloses an externably operable member capable of moving the viewing point of the simulated camera in the simulated 3D space as it is operated (col. 7, lines 1-4) and a distance calculating means for calculating the distance from the viewing point of the simulated camera for each pixel position (col. 6, lines 34-38).

Referring to claim 7, Morihira discloses a frame buffer to store model image pixel data (col. 8, line 60). The remarks presented above with respect to claim 1, apply equally to the remainder of the claim.

Referring to claim 8, the remarks presented above with respect to claim 2, apply equally to this claim.

Referring to claim 9, the remarks presented above with respect to claim 3, apply equally to this claim.

Referring to claim 10, the remarks presented above with respect to claim 4, apply equally to this claim.

Referring to claim 11, the remarks presented above with respect to claim 5, apply equally to this claim.

Referring to claim 12, the remarks presented above with respect to claim 6, apply equally to this claim.

Art Unit: 2671

Referring to claim 13, the remarks presented above with respect to claim 1, apply equally

to this claim.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 1-3, filed December 29, 2003, with respect to claims 1-

13 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-13 have been

withdrawn. New grounds for rejection have been entered.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Adam Arnold whose telephone number is 703-305-8413. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays between 7:00 AM

and 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Page 5

Art Unit: 2671

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

> MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600